IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

)
IN RE DELTA DENTAL) No. 1:19-cv-06734
ANTITRUST LITIGATION)
) Hon. Elaine E. Bucklo
)

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) and Paragraph 19 of the Initial Case Management Order (Dkt. 95), Defendants respectfully move to dismiss Plaintiffs' Consolidated Complaint (Dkt. 96) for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. As explained in detail in the accompanying Memorandum In Support Of Defendants' Motion To Dismiss Plaintiffs' Consolidated Complaint ("Memorandum"), the Consolidated Complaint fails to state a claim on the following grounds:

- 1. Plaintiffs fail to state a *per se* claim under Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1. Plaintiffs allege that three different restraints are *per se* illegal. However, two of the three restraints Plaintiffs identify—"revenue restrictions" and "price fixing"—are entirely conclusory and are not supported by any well-pleaded facts. These allegations thus cannot state a claim of any sort, much less a claim for conduct that is *per se* illegal. And Plaintiffs' third alleged restriction—a "market allocation mechanism"—is an ancillary restraint that must be evaluated under the rule of reason as a matter of law.
- 2. Plaintiffs fail to state a rule-of-reason claim under Section 1 as well. Although dental insurance is an example of what the Supreme Court has called a "two-sided platform" market, *Ohio* v. Am. Express Co., 138 S. Ct. 2274, 2280 (2018) ("AmEx"), Plaintiffs do not allege effects on both

sides of the market, as the *AmEx* decision requires. Plaintiffs also fail to allege cognizable product or geographic markets or market power, all of which are required to state a rule-of-reason claim.

- 3. In addition, Plaintiffs' claims fail for the independent reason that they have not alleged antitrust injury. Plaintiffs' purported harm (low reimbursement rates) is not the type of injury the antitrust laws protect. This is particularly true for a two-sided platform market, such as dental insurance, where it is "necessary" to "[e]valuate both sides" of the platform in order to "accurately assess competition." *AmEx*, 138 S. Ct. at 2287. The Complaint focuses on only one side of the two-sided market and fails to allege harm to competition on the platform as a whole.
- 4. Furthermore, Plaintiffs' claims fail because the alleged "market allocation mechanism" they identify is not the result of concerted action within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1. Defendants have always had a unified interest in the licensing and management of the "Delta Dental" trademarks, such that decisions affecting the licensing and management of those marks do not deprive the market of independent centers of decision-making. Absent concerted action, Plaintiffs' Section 1 claim must be dismissed.
- 5. Finally, Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the McCarran-Ferguson Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1011 *et seq.*, which exempts claims related to the "business of insurance" from the scope of the antitrust laws.

For these reasons, and as set forth more fully in Defendants' supporting Memorandum,

Defendants respectfully request that the Court dismiss the Consolidated Complaint with prejudice.

[Signature block begins on following page]

Dated: January 27, 2020

/s/ Kathy L. Osborn

Kathy L. Osborn Ryan M. Hurley Anna Marie Behrmann FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP 300 N. Meridian St., Suite 2500 Indianapolis, IN 46204 (317) 237-8261 kathy.osborn@faegrebd.com ryan.hurley@faegrebd.com anna.behrmann@faegrebd.com

Colby Anne Kingsbury
FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP
311 S. Wacker Dr., #4400
Chicago, IL 60606
(312) 212-6573
colby.kingsbury@faegrebd.com

Jeffrey S. Roberts
Joshua P. Mahoney
FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP
1144 15th Street, Suite 3400
Denver, CO 80203
(303) 607-3500
jeff.roberts@faegrebd.com
joshua.mahoney@faegrebd.com

Counsel for Defendants Delta Plan of Arkansas, Inc., Delta Dental of Indiana, Inc., Delta Dental of Kentucky, Inc., Delta Dental Plan of Michigan, Inc., Delta Dental Plan of New Mexico, Inc., Delta Dental of North Carolina, Delta Dental Plan of Ohio, Inc., and Delta Dental of Tennessee

/s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Jerry W. Blackwell Benjamin W. Hulse Gerardo Alcazar BLACKWELL BURKE P.A. 431 South Seventh Street Suite 2500 Minneapolis, MN 55415

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Britt M. Miller

Britt M. Miller
Daniel K. Storino
MAYER BROWN LLP
71 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(312) 782-0600
bmiller@mayerbrown.com
dstorino@mayerbrown.com

Mark W. Ryan MAYER BROWN LLP 1999 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006 (202) 263-3000 mryan@mayerbrown.com

Counsel for Defendants Delta Dental Plans Association, DeltaUSA, Delta Dental of Connecticut Inc., Delta Dental Plan of Idaho, Inc. d/b/a Delta Dental of Idaho, Dental Service of Massachusetts, Inc. d/b/a Delta Dental of Massachusetts, Delta Dental of Missouri, Delta Dental of New Jersey, Inc., Oregon Dental Service d/b/a Delta Dental of Oregon, Delta Dental of South Dakota, Delta Dental of Washington, and Delta Dental of Wyoming d/b/a Delta Dental of Wyoming

/s/ Howard Ullman

Stephen V. Bomse
Russell P. Cohen
Howard Ullman
Nicole Gelsomini
ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
The Orrick Building
405 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
415-773-5700
sbomse@orrick.com
rcohen@orrick.com
hullman@orrick.com

612-343-3200 blackwell@blackwellburke.com bhulse@blackwellburke.com galcazar@blackwellburke.com

Lori Swanson Mike Hatch SWANSON HATCH, P.A. 431 South 7th Street Suite 2545 Minneapolis, MN 55415 612-315-3037 lswanson@swansonhatch.com mhatch@swansonhatch.com

Counsel for Defendants Delta Dental of Minnesota and Delta Dental of Nebraska

/s/ David E. Dahlquist

David E. Dahlquist WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 35 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601-9703 312-558-5600 ddahlquist@winston.com

Counsel for Defendants Arizona Dental Insurance Service, Inc. d/b/a Delta Dental of Arizona, Hawaii Dental Service, Delta Dental of Iowa, Delta Dental of Kansas Inc., Maine Dental Service Corporation d/b/a Delta Dental Plan of Maine, Delta Dental Plan of New Hampshire, Inc., Delta Dental Plan of Oklahoma, Delta Dental of Rhode Island, and Delta Dental Plan of Vermont, Inc.

Emily Luken
ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
Columbia Center
1152 15th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
202-339-8400
eluken@orrick.com

Brian Joseph Murray
Timothy D. Elliot
RATHJE WOODWARD LLC
300 E. Roosevelt Road
Suite 300
630-668-8500
Wheaton, IL 60187
bmurray@rathjewoodward.com
telliott@rathjewoodward.com

Counsel for Defendants Delta Dental Insurance Company, Delta Dental of California, Delta Dental of Delaware, Delta Dental of the District of Columbia, Delta Dental of New York, Delta Dental of Pennsylvania, Delta Dental of Puerto Rico, and Delta Dental of West Virginia

/s/ Scott D. Stein
Scott D. Stein
Colleen M. Kenney
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
One South Dearborn Street
Chicago, IL 60603
(312) 853-7000
sstein@sidley.com
ckenney@sidley.com

Counsel for Defendants Colorado Dental Service, Inc. d/b/a Delta Dental of Colorado, Delta Dental of Illinois, and Delta Dental of Virginia

/s/ Allison W. Reimann

Allison W. Reimann GODFREY & KAHN, S.C. One East Main Street Suite 500 Madison, WI 53703 608-257-3911 koconnor@gklaw.com areimann@gklaw.com

Counsel for Defendant Delta Dental of Wisconsin, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Britt M. Miller, an attorney, hereby certify that on January 27, 2020, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing **DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT** to be filed and served electronically via the Court's CM/ECF system. Notice of this filing will be sent by e-mail to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system. Parties may access this filing through the court's CM/ECF System.

/s/ Britt M. Miller
Britt M. Miller
MAYER BROWN LLP
71 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(312) 782-0600
bmiller@mayerbrown.com